

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 30 2006

LAW OFFICES OF  
**McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC**

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200  
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817  
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100  
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376  
E-MAIL: MCGINN@AOL.COM / ADMIN@MCGINNIPALAW.COM  
SENDER'S E-MAIL: P.MILLER@MCGINNIPALAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN  
PHILLIP E. MILLER\*  
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER\*  
JAMES E. HOWARD\*  
JAMES N. DRESSER  
JOHN J. DRESCH  
SCOTT M. TULINO  
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT\*  
\*MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

June 30, 2006

**VIA FACSIMILE**  
**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**

To: Examiner James H. Blackwell  
Group Art Unit No. 2176  
U. S. P. T. O.

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

From: Phillip E. Miller

Facsimile No. 703-761-2375

Re: Filing of Request for Reconsideration  
U. S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/837,158  
Our Ref: ALM.047

Dear Examiner:

Enclosed please find a Request for Reconsideration filed in response to the Office Action dated May 15, 2006, which should place the Application in condition for Allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration of this case.

Very truly yours,

  
Phillip E. Miller

PEM/sb  
Enclosure

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JUN 30 2006**

In re Application of

Karen Mae Holland et al.

Serial No.: 09/837,158

Group Art Unit: 2176

Filed: April 19, 2001

Examiner: James H. Blackwell

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS  
BETWEEN TEXT DOCUMENTS AND STRUCTURED VARIABLES  
PERTAINING TO THE TEXT DOCUMENTS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
Box AF

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 15, 2006, Applicant states as follows:

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-12 and 14-28 are all the claims presently pending in the application.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication that claim 26 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant submits, however, that all of the claims are allowable.

Claims 1-2, 17, 23, 25 and 27-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lewak et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,544,360) in view of Goldman et al. ("Knowledge Discovery in an Earthquake Text Database: Correlation between Significant Earthquakes and the Time of Day", IEEE, 1997, p. 12-21).

Claims 3-12, 14-16, 18-22 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lewak et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,544,360) in view of Goldszmidt et al. ("A Probabilistic Approach to Full-Text Document Clustering" 1998, Technical Report ITAD-433-MS-98-044, SRI International).

These rejections are respectfully traversed in the following discussion.